

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/603,816	HIGASHIURA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
SRIRAMA CHANNAVAJJALA	2166	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) SRIRAMA CHANNAVAJJALA. (3) _____.

(2) Thomas E. McKiernan, Reg.No. 37,889. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 August 2008

Time: 3.30 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,3,4,8,12-13,15-16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Thomas E. McKiernan, Reg. No. 37,889 about the potential amendment to the claims 1,4,8,15-16, cancelling claims 3,12-13, in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment. .